

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION

BELCALIS MARLENIS ALMÁNZAR, )  
 )  
Plaintiff, )  
v. ) CIVIL ACTION  
 ) FILE NO. 1:19-CV-01301-WMR  
LATASHA TRANSRINA KEBE and )  
KEBE STUDIOS LLC, )  
 ) JURY TRIAL  
Defendants. )  
 ) VOLUME X OF X

---

-----  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM M. RAY, II

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

JANUARY 25, 2022

-----

*Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography  
and computer-aided transcript produced by*

WYNETTE C. BLATHERS, RMR, CRR  
Official Court Reporter  
1714 U.S. Courthouse  
75 Ted Turner Drive, SW  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303  
(404) 215-1547

1 APPEARANCES:

2 For the Plaintiff:

SARAH M. MATZ  
Attorney at Law  
Adelman Matz, P.C.  
1173A Second Avenue  
Suite 153  
New York, New York 10065

LISA F. MOORE  
WILLIAM A. PEQUIGNOT  
Attorney at Law  
Moore Pequignot, LLC  
887 West Marietta Street  
Suite M-102  
Atlanta, Georgia 30318

9 For the Defendants:

10 OLGA IZMAYLOVA  
SADEER SABBAB  
Attorneys at Law  
11 Sabbak & Izmaylova, LLP  
1875 Old Alabama Road  
12 Suite 510  
13 Roswell, Georgia 30076

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I N D E XPAGE

PLAINTIFF'S CLOSING ARGUMENT By Ms. Moore.....	8
DEFENDANTS' CLOSING ARGUMENT By Ms. Izmaylova.....	15
JURY CHARGE.....	20
VERDICT.....	33

1 Tuesday Morning Session

2 January 25, 2022

3 9:45 a.m.

4 - - -

5 P R O C E E D I N G S

6 COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: All rise. This Court is  
7 again in session.

8 THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

9 COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: Please be seated and  
10 come to order.

11 THE COURT: All right. So let me make sure I  
12 understand what the agreement is. The way I understand it is,  
13 is that the parties are going to stipulate the fees that the  
14 plaintiff has incurred in this case to date. Is the defendant  
15 stipulating to the reasonableness of the fees as well or are  
16 you just going to argue that they're not -- argue that issue?

17 MS. IZMAYLOVA: We're stipulating to the amount.  
18 We're just not stipulating to the fact that they should have  
19 been awarded, you know, for purposes of appeal.

20 THE COURT: Okay. But that's a little different. So  
21 should have been awarded goes to the bad faith, stubbornly  
22 litigious, unnecessary trouble and expense. That decision has  
23 been made.

24 MS. IZMAYLOVA: Right. I just wanted -- as long as  
25 we're not waiving that issue for appeal, but we stipulate to

1 the amount that the plaintiff has, you know --

2 THE COURT: And does the defendant intend to argue  
3 that the amount of fees that is stipulated to was not the  
4 reasonable fee that was necessary?

5 MS. IZMAYLOVA: No, I don't intend to do that.

6 THE COURT: Because if you do that, I mean, in all  
7 honesty, really the plaintiff probably needs to put on  
8 evidence about why that total amount of fee was necessary.

9 MS. IZMAYLOVA: I don't intend to do that.

10 THE COURT: Okay. So you're just not going to waive  
11 it for appeal?

12 MS. IZMAYLOVA: Correct.

13 THE COURT: Okay. And then as far as punitive  
14 damages are concerned, the parties are simply going to argue  
15 that there will be no additional evidence to offer. Is that  
16 what I understand?

17 MS. MATZ: Yeah. What the plan was, is we did drop  
18 the stipulation as to the amount and that they're reasonable,  
19 the attorney's fees, we were going to read it into the record.  
20 It will go to the jury like all the other stipulated facts,  
21 and then I think the agreement was that nobody would do  
22 opening arguments. We would just do closing arguments, and  
23 then let the jury go make a decision.

24 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So are y'all ready to  
25 do that, I mean, bring the jury in, have the stipulation read,

1 and then have closing argument?

2 MS. MATZ: Yeah.

3 MS. IZMAYLOVA: Yes.

4 MS. MOORE: Uh-huh.

5 MS. MATZ: And just to clarify, your Honor, I believe  
6 you said 20 minutes for closings yesterday. Did I hear that  
7 right?

8 THE COURT: That's right.

9 MS. MATZ: Okay. Thank you. And will it be the same  
10 format on our side where 20 minutes and we can reserve a  
11 couple minutes for rebuttal?

12 THE COURT: Okay.

13 MS. MATZ: Okay. Thank you.

14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Do you want me to  
15 read the stipulation or are you going to do it?

16 MS. MATZ: I'm happy to do it or if your Honor  
17 prefers to, it doesn't really matter to me.

18 THE COURT: What would you --

19 MS. MATZ: Why don't you just read it, if you don't  
20 mind.

21 THE COURT: All right.

22 COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.

23 (Whereupon, the jurors entered the courtroom.)

24 COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: Please be seated and  
25 come to order.

1           THE COURT: All right. Good morning, ladies and  
2 gentlemen. Thanks for being back with us today. So there's  
3 been a little bit of change in the posture of today's  
4 activities. The parties have agreed to waive opening  
5 statements. There also will be no additional evidence to  
6 offer except for a stipulation, and I'm going to read the  
7 stipulation. And if you'll recall from the jury instructions  
8 I gave you during the -- at the start of trial, a stipulated  
9 fact is to be accepted by you as fact and applied as a  
10 decision having been made as to that particular issue.

11           So I'm going to read the stipulated fact, and then  
12 we're going proceed to closing arguments or the only argument,  
13 the arguments on the punitive damages issue and the attorney's  
14 fees issue. The parties will receive each 20 minutes to make  
15 their argument to you. The plaintiff will have the right to  
16 both open and close the closing argument or the argument on  
17 these two issues.

18           The stipulated fact that I referred to was this: The  
19 plaintiff, Belcalis Marlenis Almánzar, and Defendants Latasha  
20 Transrina Kebe and Kebe Studios LLC by and through their  
21 attorneys respectfully submit these additional facts that are  
22 agreed upon and may be admitted into evidence.

23           Plaintiff and defendants each rely on and may utilize  
24 these facts as part of their case, and the fact is as follows:  
25 Plaintiff's attorney's fees and expenses in this action

1 through January 25, 2022, are \$1,338,753.47 and are  
2 reasonable.

3           So that is the stipulation. It is stipulation  
4 No. 54. There have been, I guess, 53 other stipulated facts.  
5 This document that I read from -- and I paraphrased it a  
6 little to make it more fit the situation that we're in. This  
7 document will be sent out with you to have with you during  
8 your jury deliberations on these issues, and I'll present that  
9 back to the clerk.

10           Is the plaintiff ready to begin her statement?

11           MS. MOORE: Yes, your Honor.

12           THE COURT: Thank you.

13           MS. MOORE: Just one second, your Honor, to get  
14 situated.

15           (Brief Pause.)

16           MS. MOORE: Good morning, members of the jury. I  
17 want to thank you again for your dedication, your patience,  
18 your careful attention to all of the evidence. We know what a  
19 tremendous sacrifice it is to be away from your families and  
20 your lives, and so we're very grateful. Thank you for taking  
21 this as seriously as you have.

22           John Adams once said that trial by jury is the life  
23 and liberty of our society, the heart and lungs of our  
24 society, and I believe that. And today plaintiff is going to  
25 ask you to go back into the jury room one last time to



1 deliberate about the appropriate amount of punitive damages  
2 and the award of attorney's fees to my client, and we ask you  
3 to breathe oxygen, the importance of telling the truth, back  
4 into our society.

5           You've seen an overwhelming amount of evidence  
6 concerning the nature and egregiousness of the defendants'  
7 conduct, the defendants' intentional conduct.

8           (Whereupon, a video recording was played.)

9           MS. MOORE: Each of the defamatory statements that  
10 the defendants have repeated over and over and over about my  
11 client are vile and reprehensible. And as you've heard them  
12 say many times stridently in this classroom, they intend to  
13 continue publishing these statements about my client. And  
14 even if there's an order saying they can't use my client's  
15 name in connection with those defamatory statements, they're  
16 going to say this rapper. They're going to continue doing  
17 this because they know that everybody that views their  
18 platforms will know who they're talking about.

19           The defendants have admittedly repeated that their  
20 motives are for profit and spite. They want to monetize  
21 defamatory lies because it's good for their business. That's  
22 just a few examples.

23           Tasha testified that she hashtags my client so when  
24 people searching Instagram specifically look for my client,  
25 this would come up, which helps drive people to the

1 defendant's Instagram, YouTube, and platforms in general. She  
2 admitted that one of the reasons she dropped the Starmarie  
3 Jones interview was to get ratings, to make it go viral before  
4 it was debunked, even though she knew some of what was being  
5 said was false.

6           She admitted that sometimes she used hashtags like  
7 cold sore B or herpes B or Cardi B, even if she's not talking  
8 about my client, to drive traffic to the site. And as we all  
9 recall, in a video she said she wanted the money. She has an  
10 agency that's watching, and they want to see certain numbers  
11 every month and they are in a business to generate ratings as  
12 well as money. And she wasn't going to pull the videos down  
13 in part because she wanted to continue to get ratings and ad  
14 revenue.

15           In addition to greed, Tasha admitted that she  
16 published these statements for spite. She knowingly published  
17 these defamatory lies about my client to get a reaction, to  
18 get back at her for filing the lawsuit, to upset her. You've  
19 heard her say that she has a vendetta against my client. She  
20 stated she doesn't like the bitch and knows it upsets our  
21 client when she hashtags her herpes B. She does it  
22 intentionally over and over and over again just to traumatize  
23 and torture my client, to get a reaction out of my client so  
24 that's good for her clicks and views.

25           As you recall, Tasha admitted repeatedly during this

1 trial that these statements were intentionally directed to my  
2 client. She purposefully targeted her over and over and over  
3 again so these messages would notify her and force her to see  
4 the defamatory statements, force her to see these disgusting  
5 videos again and again and again, to upset her, to irritate  
6 her, to provoke a reaction.

7 Obviously, you've known since Day 1 of this trial,  
8 this behavior is reprehensible. It goes beyond the bounds of  
9 any civilized society. And in terms of the extent and  
10 duration of the defendant's wrongdoing, it has been an  
11 unrelenting and intentional campaign to break my client, all  
12 because of greed and spite, post after post after post, video  
13 after video after video.

14 And with respect to the likelihood of recurrence, the  
15 defendants have made it very clear they will keep doing this  
16 until they are forced to stop. They've made it very clear  
17 that they think defaming my client is a profitable business,  
18 and they will never stop until they are forced.

19 Tasha admitted that she did all of these things with  
20 malicious intent, that she knowingly published all these false  
21 and defamatory statements about my client, disgusting,  
22 despicable statements with malicious intent, and the  
23 defendants have been greatly enriched by their conduct.

24 As everyone in this courtroom has witnessed, there  
25 are aggravating circumstances that have warranted the

1 imposition of punitive damages, and you agree. We have  
2 conclusively proven that defendant's actions have shown  
3 willful misconduct, malice, wantonness, an entire lack of  
4 care. They don't care what they've done to Cardi. They don't  
5 care what they've done to Cardi's family. They certainly  
6 don't care what they've done and will do to Cardi's children.  
7 They are completely remorseless. They don't care.

8           As you know, punitive damages are not awarded to my  
9 client, but they are awarded solely to punish and to deter.  
10 We respectfully urge you to impose a significant punitive  
11 damages award on the defendants to punish them for this  
12 atrocious conduct and equally importantly to deter them from  
13 ever doing it again.

14           Given how strident they have been about their intent  
15 to keep spreading malicious and false lies about my client, I  
16 submit to you that it will require a very significant award to  
17 make them cease this unlawful conduct.

18           As you know, my client is also seeking to recover the  
19 attorney's fees that she's had to incur in this three-year  
20 battle to get the defendants to stop. And you'll note on this  
21 timeline, and as you've heard the testimony in trial, my  
22 client put them on notice the very day Tasha did a promo for  
23 the Starmarie Jones interview, the very day she said these  
24 things that Starmarie Jones is going to say in this interview  
25 that you're going to publish are not true, and the defendants

1 knowingly published it anyway.

2           On the next day, the very next day, September 19th,  
3 2018, a former law firm for my client sends the first demand  
4 for retraction. I think Tasha said she wiped her ass with it,  
5 or at least that's what she told her viewers or lied to her  
6 viewers about. But if you continue down the timeline -- Tom,  
7 can you bring up the next year? Notwithstanding the first  
8 demand for retraction, notwithstanding the second demand for  
9 retraction, notwithstanding the fact that we filed a lawsuit  
10 in federal court, the defendants continued on and on and on  
11 and on even though they knew all these things were provably  
12 false.

13           Tom, can you put up the next year, please. We  
14 provided the negative test results. Didn't matter. They kept  
15 publishing these disgusting lies about my client, that she had  
16 herpes, that she had HPV. Our law firm, even after the filing  
17 of the lawsuit, sent a third demand for retraction. Why? Why  
18 did we do that after we filed a lawsuit? Because they  
19 continued to publish more videos, dozens of videos, dozens of  
20 posts with these disgusting and defamatory statements. And  
21 they didn't, excuse my language, give a fuck. They just kept  
22 doing it.

23           So as you know -- and, Tom, can you show the next  
24 year, please. It continued all through 2021. And, Tom, will  
25 you move to 2022, please. And here we are. All of these

1 things are still up, all the posts, all the videos.

2 And as you know, the attorney's fees that are now  
3 north, far north of a million dollars, none of them would have  
4 been necessary if the defendants had just stopped when they  
5 got notice from my client in the comments or when she DM'd  
6 them directly. All the clients had to do was click a button,  
7 literally click a button and take it down, but no. Instead,  
8 my client has had to be brought to her knees, suffered ungodly  
9 emotional distress, was extremely suicidal, has had to spend a  
10 fortune on multiple law firms, all because the defendants  
11 wouldn't stop.

12 We ask that you award every penny that our client has  
13 to incur unnecessarily in attorney's fees. This never should  
14 have had to happen. You should not have had to be here. The  
15 defendants could have stopped this immediately, but they  
16 didn't. And apparently they won't until they're forced to.

17 When you answer -- when you go back in the jury room  
18 and you answer the question of what is the right amount of  
19 punitive damages, I'm going to suggest to you that you might  
20 consider the answer to be akin to the volume on a Zoom meeting  
21 or how loud the music is in your car. The level of the volume  
22 will depend on who's in the room.

23 You may have somebody that has sensitive ears that  
24 hears right away. Okay. Maybe the volume doesn't have to be  
25 that loud. You may be somebody like my sweet elderly mother.

1 She has an inoperable skull-based tumor. She's deaf. I could  
2 turn the music up very loud, and she would still barely hear  
3 it. Or you could have somebody in a room that has seven sets  
4 of headphones on that refuses to hear no matter what. How  
5 astronomically high does that number have to be to make the  
6 defendants hear that this is absolutely unacceptable behavior,  
7 that it has no place in civilized society?

8           If the number is small, then only the people in the  
9 courtroom will hear it, and the defendants will certainly not  
10 stop. If the number is large, the message of your verdict  
11 will be heard beyond the walls of this honorable courtroom,  
12 and it just might deter someone else like the defendants from  
13 targeting a young person who's suffering in silence, who may  
14 not have a million dollars plus to bankroll a lawsuit. It may  
15 prevent someone like a young mother from considering ending  
16 her life.

17           Only with a truly large number will your message be  
18 heard across the nation that this monetization of lies is  
19 unacceptable. Only with a very significant number will the  
20 defendants hear you.

21           Your Honor, I'm going to reserve the rest of my time  
22 for after the defendants, please.

23           THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Izmaylova.

24           MS. IZMAYLOVA: Thank you. Good morning, ladies and  
25 gentlemen. I just have a couple of brief comments to make.

1 I've already said everything I need to say yesterday. While  
2 the defense may disagree with the verdict, we do respect your  
3 verdict. We thank you for your service. I just wanted to  
4 make a couple of points.

5 The video that was just played, please do recall that  
6 that video is not available to the public. It was taken down  
7 within 24 hours of being made. As my client testified, she  
8 told you she was not proud of that video and did remove it  
9 within 24 hours of making it. So just keep that in mind when  
10 you go back to deliberate.

11 Also when you go to deliberate, please keep in mind  
12 that the plaintiff's injury was -- has been made whole by your  
13 award of compensatory damages on yesterday, and Judge Ray will  
14 charge you on that law.

15 And the last thing I would like for you to keep in  
16 mind is that the amount of punitive damages should be  
17 proportional to my client's ability to pay those punitive  
18 damages. So if you'd just keep those things in mind when you  
19 go to deliberate, that is all that we ask. Thank you.

20 MS. MOORE: The defendants have published dozens and  
21 dozens of defamatory statements and literally dozens and  
22 dozens and dozens of videos. They've pulled one down? They  
23 must be very proud of all the others that everyone in the  
24 world can continue to see today, that my client can see, that  
25 her family can see, that the parents of her children's friends



1 can see, that the members of her church can see, that her  
2 sponsors can see, that everybody can see and retweet and  
3 share. Your client must be very proud of those.

4 As I mentioned earlier, punitives are to punish and  
5 to deter the defendants, not to compensate the plaintiff. We  
6 respectfully submit that given the entirety of all the  
7 evidence you've heard over the past two weeks, the videos, the  
8 documents, the testimony of my client, the testimony of  
9 Dr. Sherry Blake, the remorselessness of the defendants,  
10 who've already told you they fully intend to keep doing this,  
11 we call for nothing less than a unanimous and significant  
12 punitive damages award.

13 That will send a powerful message to other trolls on  
14 the internet that it is not acceptable or lawful to monetize  
15 defamation, that this conduct is absolutely unacceptable, and  
16 it will not be tolerated.

17 We ask that you award our client all of her  
18 attorney's fees that she needlessly had to incur. We thank  
19 you for analyzing the evidence so carefully and holding the  
20 defendants liable.

21 Today is an important day. You, the jury, have sent  
22 a resounding message that facts matter, that spreading  
23 malicious provably false lies about someone else is not okay  
24 regardless of the level of your professional success. Please  
25 let the answer to the question of punitive damages punish and

1 deter these defendants for once and for all. Thank you very  
2 much.

3 THE COURT: Jennifer, do you have the verdict?

4 MS. IZMAYLOVA: I have one, your Honor.

5 THE COURT: If counsel will approach? Ms. Moore?

6 (Whereupon, a bench conference was held between the  
7 Court and counsel.)

8 THE COURT: So you said something in your closing  
9 which isn't true. You said --

10 MS. MOORE: What?

11 THE COURT: Let me get it. I wrote it down, and I  
12 read it in the transcript as well. You said punitive damages  
13 are not awarded to my client --

14 MS. MOORE: Not awarded to compensate my client.

15 THE COURT: That's not what you said.

16 MS. MOORE: Oh, my God, your Honor. That's the line  
17 in my message --

18 THE COURT: That's not what I heard, and that's not  
19 what the transcript says.

20 MS. MOORE: Okay.

21 MS. IZMAYLOVA: I don't recall exactly what she said.

22 THE COURT: Let me finish. You said punitive damages  
23 are not awarded to my client, but they are awarded solely to  
24 punish and to deter. So I'm going to have to correct any  
25 misapprehension that the jury may have that the punitive

1 damages that are awarded based on their finding of specific  
2 intent are awarded to the plaintiff just so that it's clear.

3 MS. MOORE: I just want to let you and Ms. Izmaylova  
4 know that literally the line says not to compensate my --

5 THE COURT: But you didn't say that.

6 MS. MOORE: It must have been like exhaustion or I  
7 just missed a word. It's not intentional, your Honor.

8 THE COURT: I'm not accusing you at all. I just --

9 MS. MOORE: I assure you that it's not intentional.

10 MS. IZMAYLOVA: I understand. Okay.

11 MS. MOORE: Thank you, your Honor.

12 MS. IZMAYLOVA: Thank you.

13 (Whereupon, the following proceedings continued in  
14 open court.)

15 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I want to clarify  
16 something just to make sure that you understand that if there  
17 is an award of punitive damages, those damages do go to the  
18 plaintiff because you found specific intent to commit harm in  
19 your jury verdict. And if you'll remember, that question was  
20 asked as to each defendant. Then the damages all will go to  
21 the defendant.

22 Back in maybe the late eighties the Georgia  
23 legislature adopted a law that capped the amount of punitive  
24 damages that could be awarded to an individual, part of a tort  
25 reform act, but specifically excepted or eliminated any cap if

1 there was specific intent to find harm.

2 Under the law if there wasn't specific intent to find  
3 harm, after a certain amount, any award of punitive damages  
4 would go to the state or it may have been some other  
5 procedural mechanism where a large portion would go to the  
6 state treasury. That's not the case here because you found  
7 specific intent to commit harm on each of the defendants'  
8 behalf. So I just want to make sure that that was clear to  
9 you as you consider the decision that is before you.

10 Just a second. So I don't have a great deal of  
11 additional law to give you, and what law I'm going to give you  
12 you should consider it in the context of all the other law  
13 that you were given yesterday and in the context of the  
14 decisions that you have made.

15 In your verdict yesterday you decided that punitive  
16 damages were to be allowed. Next you must determine the  
17 appropriate amount of punitive damages, if any, to award. In  
18 doing so you should consider all of the evidence in the first  
19 phase of the trial plus any evidence admitted in this phase or  
20 second phase of the trial. You should also bear in mind that  
21 the plaintiff's injury has been made whole by your award of  
22 compensatory damages.

23 The sole purpose of punitive damages is to punish,  
24 penalize or deter a defendant, and the amount you award should  
25 reflect that purpose only. The measure of punitive damages is

1 your enlightened conscience as an impartial juror.

2           In considering the amount of punitive damages, you  
3 may consider the following factors: The nature and  
4 egregiousness or reprehensibility of the defendants' conduct,  
5 the extent and duration of the defendants' wrongdoing, and the  
6 likelihood of its reoccurrence, the intent of the defendant in  
7 committing the wrong, the profitability of the defendants'  
8 wrongdoing, and the amount of the compensatory damages that  
9 you have already awarded.

10           In making your award you should consider the degree  
11 of reprehensibility of the defendants' wrongdoing. You should  
12 consider all of the evidence, both aggravating and mitigating,  
13 to decide how much punishment the defendant or defendants  
14 deserve.

15           In assessing reprehensibility you may consider  
16 whether the harm caused was physical as opposed to economic,  
17 whether the conduct showed an indifference to or a reckless  
18 disregard of the health and safety of others, whether the  
19 target of the conduct had financial vulnerability, whether the  
20 conduct involved repeated actions or was an isolated incident,  
21 and whether the harm was a result of intentional malice,  
22 trickery or deceit.

23           Any award you make should be both reasonable and just  
24 in light of your previous award of damages, the conduct of --  
25 and circumstances of the defendant, and the purpose of

1 punitive damages.

2           You have decided to -- you have also decided to award  
3 plaintiff her attorney's fees and expenses. Keep in mind the  
4 instruction that you were given yesterday about the award of  
5 attorney's fees and expenses. You should determine from the  
6 evidence now the amount of the attorney's fees and other  
7 expenses that you will award.

8           You will be sent a verdict form, pretty short  
9 compared to the one you had yesterday. The verdict form has  
10 two sections. One section is punitive damages and the other  
11 one is litigation expenses, and it reads as follows: As to  
12 the plaintiff's claim for punitive damages, we, the jury,  
13 award the following punitive damages. There's two sections of  
14 the verdict form. The first section reads as follows: As to  
15 Defendant Latasha Kebe, we assess the amount of blank as  
16 punitive damages, which is separate from punitive damages  
17 awarded against Defendant Kebe Studios LLC. You would write  
18 in what, if any, amount of punitive damages you award against  
19 Defendant Latasha Kebe.

20           Then the second section of the first section overall  
21 reads: As to Defendant Kebe Studios LLC, we assess the amount  
22 of blank as punitive damages with separate -- which is  
23 separate from any punitive damages against Defendant Latasha  
24 Kebe.

25           The second section of the overall second section of

1 the verdict form is titled -- is entitled "Litigation  
2 Expenses" and reads as follows: As to plaintiff's claim for  
3 litigation expenses, we award the amount of blank. So in that  
4 blank you would fill in the amount of attorney's fees, if any,  
5 that you would award. And then it says against blank  
6 Defendant Latasha Kebe and/or blank Defendant Kebe Studios.

7 And these two little blanks that exist for the named  
8 Defendant Latasha Kebe and the blank before Defendant Kebe  
9 Studios, if you intend to award attorney's fees against any  
10 defendant, then you would so indicate by checking the blank  
11 before the identification of that defendant. So that's why  
12 there are the two little blanks there, for you to either check  
13 or X before to indicate that you have decided to award  
14 attorneys' fees against that defendant.

15 The amount of the attorney's fees, if any, would be  
16 the amount that you fill into the larger blank, and this award  
17 would be an award that if you so shall make that award, would  
18 be paid potentially jointly by the parties or individually if  
19 you only check one or the other. And, of course, if you check  
20 neither name, then neither defendant will owe attorney's fees  
21 in this case.

22 So the decision is yours. It's no different from  
23 yesterday. You should make this decision keeping in mind all  
24 the instructions that the Court has given you. If you have  
25 any questions for me, then use the same process as yesterday

1 to write your question out and send it out with the court  
2 security officer. No one will stray very far from the  
3 courtroom, so we'll be available to you as soon as you need  
4 us. If and when you have a verdict as to these issues, then  
5 let the court security officer know, and we will return to the  
6 courtroom to receive your verdict.

7 All right. You can go back to the jury room. Thank  
8 you.

9 COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.

10 (Whereupon, the jurors exited the courtroom.)

11 COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: Please be seated and  
12 come to order.

13 THE COURT: All right. Any exception or objection  
14 from the plaintiff as to the instructions given to the jury on  
15 the second phase of trial?

16 MS. MOORE: No, your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Any exceptions or objection from the  
18 defendant as to the instructions given to the jury in this  
19 second phase of the trial?

20 MS. IZMAYLOVA: No, your Honor.

21 THE COURT: All right. So we just need to gather the  
22 one stipulation that the parties have agreed to and then send  
23 to the jury, which I guess they still possess whatever  
24 evidence we sent yesterday, the additional jury instruction  
25 and verdict forms. I would ask if y'all would stay close by.



1 Would rather guess we'd have a decision probably quickly.

2 MR. SABBAK: Thank you, Judge.

3 THE COURT: I do want to talk about one more thing,  
4 though, so that we don't have to do this afterwards -- you can  
5 go ahead and take that out to them. So I had mentioned  
6 yesterday -- and I'm not asking for a decision, by the way,  
7 today. But, you know, I've tried to reconcile in my mind the  
8 jury's decision as to the medical expenses. It had been  
9 roughly three years, maybe four, since this activity began  
10 that the plaintiff filed her lawsuit about, and the only  
11 testimony that we've really received that I can recall is  
12 approximately \$10,000 in medical expenses, which included  
13 accompanying travel expenses that were certainly recoverable  
14 as part of that.

15 We don't have any evidence in record of the -- of any  
16 mortality table that would show what the plaintiff's life  
17 expectancy would be that the jury could use to try to  
18 calculate the expenses that she would continue potentially to  
19 incur as she deals with what she has described in this case as  
20 being trauma from the slander and defamation involved here.

21 It may be very well that the jury can use its common  
22 sense to try to determine her life expectancy because we do  
23 know what her age is roughly as she testified to that. But  
24 whether or not the jury could forecast \$250,000 of future  
25 medical expense to me is somewhat questionable based on the

1 evidence.

2 I spent a little bit of time this morning considering  
3 the issue of remittitur on that issue. You know, a lot of the  
4 Eleventh Circuit law on remittitur is going to come from the  
5 Fifth Circuit because a lot of it was created before the  
6 creation of the Eleventh Circuit, and so I've looked at a few  
7 law review articles on that this morning.

8 It seems that the Court has the authority to order  
9 remittitur in whole or in part of the whole case or individual  
10 issues. I have never -- I didn't look at the articles for  
11 purposes of the whole case. If remittitur were appropriate,  
12 it would in my mind be something to talk about as it relates  
13 simply to the medical expenses. There is some case law, maybe  
14 not in the Eleventh Circuit, but some case law that -- or  
15 maybe not case law, maybe law review discussion about whether  
16 or not the appellate courts can add -- can order remittitur or  
17 not themselves. I don't really have the answer to that  
18 question about whether the appellate courts can do it.

19 Obviously, if this case goes on appeal and the  
20 appellate court believes from the evidence that was presented  
21 that the award of \$250,000 was not supported by the evidence  
22 or any reasonable interpretation of the evidence that the jury  
23 had before it and there was no such procedure in the Eleventh  
24 Circuit to order remittitur, the Eleventh Circuit, of course,  
25 could create that law by simply saying so.

1           The Eleventh Circuit could also potentially, if they  
2 thought that that was the case, simply strike that award  
3 altogether, and then it would be lost. So I guess my point in  
4 saying this is I mentioned it yesterday. I do have some  
5 questions about it. I certainly have reached no conclusion  
6 about whether concretely there is no -- there is not  
7 sufficient evidence for the jury to have rendered that verdict  
8 and that number.

9           And even if I felt that that was the case, whether or  
10 not I would make a decision myself upon a motion for new trial  
11 on that issue to order remittitur -- and, of course, I can  
12 assure you that I'm not going to order a new trial on the  
13 issue very easily because this is a very long trial. And if  
14 we had a new trial on the amount of medical expenses that was  
15 incurred by the plaintiff, it certainly would not serve  
16 judicial economy for anyone. I mean, the Court, I'm going to  
17 be here trying cases no matter what, but both sides would be  
18 paying potentially large sums of money, maybe not equal to  
19 what we've gone through so far. But there will be some of it  
20 that will be recreated.

21           So I guess what I'm saying this: Is I encourage the  
22 parties, much like you have agreed today, to streamline the  
23 consideration of punitive damages and attorney's fees for the  
24 jury, to also talk about a way to resolve this particular  
25 issue prior to the entry of any judgment by the Court in a way

1 that allows that issue to maximize your own resources to avoid  
2 another trial should the trial court order one or should the  
3 appellate court order one as well.

4           So understand this -- and this is maybe more for the  
5 parties than for the lawyers that I'm sure understand it -- I  
6 don't have the power to ever say that an amount is what it  
7 should be. In other words, if I felt \$250,000 was not  
8 supported by the evidence, I could not pick the amount without  
9 the consent of the parties generally. And perhaps the  
10 plaintiff ultimately controls that amount because the  
11 plaintiff is the one that would be experiencing a reduction in  
12 that amount.

13           Federal courts, I do not believe, have the authority  
14 to have additur, which is adding to a verdict, although some  
15 state courts do. In federal court I think it would be found  
16 to violate Seventh Amendment considerations for a trial by  
17 jury on these issues. But the additur is not something that  
18 anyone has argued or I've even thought about anyway. It's  
19 just remittitur. So I can't just pick an amount, I guess is  
20 my point, and say this is the way it's going to be. If it  
21 ever came to that, whether I decided on my own or whether the  
22 Court of Appeals decided for me, we're probably talking about  
23 a new trial on that point.

24           But the parties could resolve it by agreement, if you  
25 were so able to agree as to if an amount is going to be

1 awarded for future medical expenses, that this would be the  
2 amount it's going to be, I think y'all can basically settle  
3 that part of the case.

4 I'm not forcing anybody to settle the case. I'm just  
5 saying you ought to talk about it as you decide these issues  
6 going forward, and if you could come to an agreement as to  
7 that amount, you could do that in a way that doesn't waive any  
8 of your rights to appeal otherwise, just like you did with the  
9 attorney's fees issues. We agree that this amount is  
10 reasonable, but we're not waiving our right to contend that  
11 the jury got it wrong when they found that the defendant was  
12 responsible and/or responsible for attorney's fees.

13 So I'm just throwing that issue out there. I'd like  
14 y'all to think about it. You know, I'm certainly not someone  
15 who willy nilly disturbs a jury's decision, so I'm not trying  
16 to forecast that I would do it on my own. It might be the  
17 appellate courts that would do it, but I think it is proper  
18 for me to have talked about it because I don't think there was  
19 really anyone in here that expected the attorneys -- the  
20 medical expenses number to be anything close to what it was,  
21 at least that's my opinion. All right. So we'll stand in  
22 recess. Do you have something to --

23 MS. IZMAYLOVA: I just have a quick question. When  
24 would you like to, like, I guess, know if we can make --

25 THE COURT: Y'all can just talk whenever y'all -- you

1 know, if y'all reach an agreement on it before I enter the  
2 verdict in this case, then let me know.

3 MS. IZMAYLOVA: Okay.

4 THE COURT: I mean, the verdict has been filed, but a  
5 judgment has not been filed. And I'm not sure when I will  
6 file the judgment. It won't be today or tomorrow, but it will  
7 be soon. Now, I want the parties to file within 30 days of  
8 today's date any briefing you wish to file on the issue of an  
9 injunction, which is one of the remaining issues in this case.  
10 I will likely schedule towards the end of February, early part  
11 of March, a hearing likely by Zoom, on the issue of an  
12 injunction.

13 Obviously, any injunction that might issue in this  
14 case would be requested by the plaintiff, so I would ask  
15 that -- well, really let me just back up and say this: Each  
16 of you should submit with your briefing within 30 days of  
17 today's date any proposed order as it relates to an  
18 injunction. I'll have a lot to consider there. Deciding  
19 whether there should be an injunction is the first decision,  
20 and if injunction is issued, the breadth of it, duration of  
21 it, you know, is another.

22 So you both may have -- you both may have ideas about  
23 what the appropriateness under the rules are as well as, you  
24 know, the definition to give to what would be prohibitive if  
25 that is ordered by the Court.

1 All right. So stand close by, and I think we'll have  
2 a verdict soon. Thank you.

3 COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: All rise. Court stands  
4 in recess.

5 (Whereupon, a recess was taken from 10:30 a.m. until  
6 11:30 a.m.)

7 COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: All rise. This court is  
8 again in session.

9 THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

10 COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: Please be seated and  
11 come to order.

12 THE COURT: What note are we on? 7?

13 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes.

14 THE COURT: All right. So we received a note that  
15 you should now have from the jury, which will be marked as  
16 Court's Exhibit 7. The note reads as follows: With regard to  
17 punitive damages being reasonable and proportionate to the  
18 ability of the defendants to pay, are we allowed any  
19 additional information to inform our decision?

20 I have written a reply that says, there can be no new  
21 evidence introduced for your consideration. Plaintiff okay  
22 with that?

23 MS. MATZ: Yes, your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Defendant okay?

25 MS. IZMAYLOVA: Yes, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Okay. So my note back to the jury will  
2 be marked as Court's Exhibit 8. All right. Thank you.

3 MS. IZMAYLOVA: Thank you.

4 MS. MATZ: Thank you, your Honor.

5 MR. SABBAK: Thank you, Judge.

6 MS. MOORE: Thank you, your Honor.

7 COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: All rise. This Court  
8 stands in recess.

9 (Whereupon, a recess was taken from 11:30 a.m. until  
10 12:27 p.m.)

11 COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: All rise. This Court is  
12 again in session.

13 THE COURT: All right. You can bring the jury back.

14 COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: Please be seated and  
15 come to order.

16 THE COURT: Before they come in, if you'll just hold  
17 the door for a second, let me just have the -- I want the  
18 record to go ahead and reflect what I understand is an  
19 agreement between the plaintiff and the defendant, and that is  
20 that when the Court enters a judgment in this case, the amount  
21 of the medical expenses will be reduced from \$250,000 as  
22 returned by the jury to \$25,000. The parties have stipulated  
23 as to that amount as being the correct amount for the  
24 judgment.

25 That does not waive the defendants' right to appeal



1 the fact that the jury decided that the defendant was  
2 responsible for any of the counts included in the complaint  
3 but merely that should the courts uphold that decision of  
4 liability, that the amount of liability for medical expenses,  
5 past and future, is \$25,000. Is that the agreement the  
6 defendant has made?

7 MS. MOORE: That is, your Honor.

8 MS. IZMAYLOVA: That is correct, your Honor.

9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

10 (Whereupon, the jurors entered the courtroom.)

11 COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: All rise. Please be  
12 seated and come to order.

13 THE COURT: Mr. Dorsey, has the jury reached a  
14 unanimous verdict as to the issue of punitive damages and  
15 attorney's fees?

16 THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

17 THE COURT: All right. If you could give the court  
18 security officer the verdict. All right. So this verdict on  
19 the issue of punitive damages and litigation expenses is  
20 returned today at 12:30 on the 25th day of January, 2022.

21 As to plaintiff's claim for punitive damages, we, the  
22 jury, award the following punitive damages: As to Defendant  
23 Latasha Kebe, we assess the amount of \$1 million as punitive  
24 damages, which is separate from any punitive damages against  
25 Defendant Kebe Studios LLC.

1           As to Defendant Kebe Studios LLC, we assess the  
2 amount of \$500,000 as punitive damages, which is separate from  
3 any punitive damages against Defendant Latasha Kebe.

4           Litigation expenses. As to plaintiff's claim for  
5 litigation expenses, we award the amount of 1,338 -- excuse  
6 me. Let me start over -- the amount of \$1,338,753.47 against  
7 Defendant Latasha Kebe and Defendant Kebe Studios LLC.

8           So say we all, signed and dated the 25th day of  
9 January, 2022, by the foreperson of the jury. All right.  
10 Ms. Lee, if you could publish the verdict form.

11           (Complies.)

12           Any objection to the form of the verdict from the  
13 plaintiff?

14           MS. MATZ: No, your Honor.

15           THE COURT: Any objection about the form of the  
16 verdict from the defendant?

17           MS. IZMAYLOVA: No, your Honor.

18           THE COURT: Any further inquiry asked of the jury  
19 from the defendant?

20           MS. IZMAYLOVA: If you would poll the jury, your  
21 Honor.

22           THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I'm  
23 going to go through the same procedure that I did yesterday.  
24 I'm going to ask you if you agree with the verdict both in the  
25 jury room and in court and whether you still agree.

1           Juror No. 2, Ms. Lewis. Ms. Lewis, is the verdict  
2 announced today in court, was that the unanimous verdict of  
3 the jury in the jury room?

4           THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

5           THE COURT: Was that your verdict?

6           THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

7           THE COURT: Does it remain your verdict?

8           THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

9           THE COURT: Juror No. 9, Mr. Dorsey. Mr. Dorsey, was  
10 the verdict as announced today in court the unanimous verdict  
11 of the jury in the jury room?

12          THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

13          THE COURT: Was it your verdict?

14          THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

15          THE COURT: Does it remain your verdict?

16          THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

17          THE COURT: Juror No. 13, Mr. Dukes, didn't skip you  
18 this time. Mr. Dukes, is the verdict as announced today in  
19 court, was that the unanimous verdict of the jury in the jury  
20 room?

21          THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

22          THE COURT: Was it your verdict?

23          THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

24          THE COURT: Does it remain your verdict?

25          THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

1 THE COURT: Juror No. 14, Ms. Kopanski.  
2 Ms. Kopanski, was the verdict as announced in court today the  
3 unanimous verdict of the jury in the jury room?

4 THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

5 THE COURT: Was it your verdict?

6 THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

7 THE COURT: Does it remain your verdict?

8 THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

9 THE COURT: Juror No. 17 -- and I skipped 16, but  
10 I'll come back to you. 17, Ms. Garcia. Ms. Garcia, was the  
11 verdict as announced in court today the unanimous verdict of  
12 the jury in the jury room?

13 THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

14 THE COURT: Was it your verdict?

15 THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

16 THE COURT: Does it remain your verdict?

17 THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

18 THE COURT: Juror No. 16, Ms. Rodriguez, was the  
19 verdict as announced today in court the unanimous verdict of  
20 the jury in the jury room?

21 THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

22 THE COURT: Was it your verdict?

23 THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

24 THE COURT: Does it remain your verdict?

25 THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

1           THE COURT: Ms. O Bearden, No. 20, was the verdict as  
2 announced in court today the unanimous verdict of the jury in  
3 the jury room?

4           THE JUROR: Yes, your Honor.

5           THE COURT: Was it your verdict?

6           THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

7           THE COURT: Does it remain your verdict?

8           THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

9           THE COURT: Juror No. 24, Mr. Sapp, was the verdict  
10 as announced in court today the unanimous verdict of the jury  
11 in the jury room?

12          THE JUROR: That's right.

13          THE COURT: Was it your verdict?

14          THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

15          THE COURT: Does it remain your verdict?

16          THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

17          THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen. So that  
18 concludes your service in this trial. I'm going to come back  
19 in the back in a moment and answer any questions you might  
20 have. I know some of you are hungry and probably ready to  
21 leave after two plus weeks with us.

22                So as you leave the courthouse today or as you leave  
23 the jury deliberation room today, you are released from any of  
24 the restrictions you had about researching about anything  
25 related to this case, about speaking about the case with

1 anyone. That's totally up to you. You can't be required and  
2 are not required to talk to anyone, but you're able to if you  
3 wish.

4           You know, as you make decisions as to what you might  
5 say to anyone who wishes to speak with you, whether they're  
6 the lawyers in this case or any third party, I'd just ask that  
7 you keep in mind the confidentiality generally of the jury  
8 room. I'm not requiring you. I don't know that I legally  
9 can, but I'm not requiring you not to talk about what other  
10 people may have said, specifically as it can be identified as  
11 to that person. But, I mean, if you would just think about it  
12 from your own perspective, if you had said things during the  
13 jury deliberation room that you would rather remain private,  
14 you would probably appreciate if your fellow jurors did the  
15 same for you.

16           But I'm not imposing any restriction on you. You can  
17 talk about the case as you see fit with whomever or however  
18 how long you wish. But, in any event, I appreciate your  
19 service. You know, many times trials that we have are just  
20 not interesting. That can't be said about this one. This was  
21 a very interesting case, and so hopefully not only the  
22 educational civics dedication that you showed by being a part  
23 of the case has been something that has been beneficial and  
24 appreciated by you, but, you know, obviously the subject  
25 matter has been something that I know has probably kept your

1 interest.

2 But if you'll go with the -- you would go with  
3 security to your jury deliberation room, I'll be in there in a  
4 moment. I won't hold you up. If you don't have any  
5 questions, I'll let you go at that point in time. On behalf  
6 of the federal district court in Atlanta, I appreciate your  
7 time.

8 COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.

9 (Whereupon, the jurors exited the courtroom.)

10 COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: Please be seated and  
11 come to order.

12 THE COURT: Anything further from the plaintiff this  
13 afternoon?

14 MS. MOORE: No, your Honor. Thank you.

15 THE COURT: Anything further from the defendant?

16 MS. IZMAYLOVA: No, your Honor.

17 THE COURT: So I think I had said 30 days file your  
18 motions and/or your positions as it relates to issues of  
19 injunction that are still before the Court. I want to say  
20 this to the defendants:

21 You have -- you know, this is a very substantial  
22 verdict that has been rendered against you, and your ability  
23 to satisfy it is independent of the fact that it exists. I  
24 would just caution you, not knowing what may have been -- what  
25 may have been transcribed -- what may have transpired before

1 today or what actions you may have taken, but I would caution  
2 you about any alterations of your finances or changes to your  
3 finances in any attempt to try to make the judgment less  
4 collectible than it is.

5 Under Georgia law efforts to do that can constitute  
6 fraud and can subject you to an additional tort claim and/or  
7 lawsuit, much less an effort that could be brought in this  
8 court to enforce the judgment as it is to set aside things  
9 that you -- action that you may engage. And given that both  
10 of you, at least tangentially, are involved in this case, by  
11 transferring assets, if that were to happen -- and I have no  
12 information to suggest that you would do that, but defendants  
13 sometimes do -- into the names of third parties, could subject  
14 them to also possible tort actions in the future.

15 Ms. Moore, did you have something else?

16 MS. MOORE: Yes, your Honor, I did. I just wanted to  
17 thank your entire staff for their hospitality and for keeping  
18 us moving in a pandemic and helping us all feel safe. So  
19 thank you. We're very grateful for your staff and Ricky and  
20 Jennifer and Andrew and Wynette. So thank you.

21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am. Anything  
22 else, ma'am?

23 MS. IZMAYLOVA: No. That's it. Thank you.

24 THE COURT: All right. Good day.

25 COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: All rise. Court stands



1 adjourned.

2 (Whereupon, the proceedings were adjourned at 12:40  
3 p.m.)

4 - - -

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## REPORTERS CERTIFICATE

I, Wynette C. Blathers, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, with offices at Atlanta, do hereby certify:

That I reported on the Stenograph machine the proceedings held in open court on January 25, 2022, in the matter of BELCALIS MARLENIS ALMÁNZAR v. LATASHA TRANSRINA KEBE and KEBE STUDIOS LLC, Case No. 1:19-CV-01301-WMR; that said proceedings in connection with the hearing were reduced to typewritten form by me; and that the foregoing transcript (Volume X of X, Pages 1 through 41) is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

This the 27th day of February, 2022.

/s/ Wynette C. Blathers, RMR, CRR  
Official Court Reporter